

(19)



Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets



(11)

EP 0 723 250 A2

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(43) Date of publication:
24.07.1996 Bulletin 1996/30

(51) Int. Cl.⁶: G06T 17/00

(21) Application number: 96100765.5

(22) Date of filing: 19.01.1996

(84) Designated Contracting States:
DE FR GB IT NL

(30) Priority: 23.01.1995 US 378251

(71) Applicant: Intergraph Corporation
Huntsville, Alabama 35824 (US)

(72) Inventors:
• Fortenberry, Mark
Fayetteville, Tennessee 37334 (US)

• Stubbs, Cameron M.
Decatur, Alabama 35601 (US)
• Payannet, Dominique J.
Madison, Alabama 35758 (US)
• Patience, Robert
Huntsville, Alabama 35801 (US)

(74) Representative: Sparing - Röhl - Henseler
Patentanwälte
Reithelstrasse 123
40237 Düsseldorf (DE)

(54) Ole for design and modeling

(57) A method for manipulating a first three-dimensional object, in a computer system including a display, a first software application, and a second software application. The present method includes the step of creating a model of the first three-dimensional object with the first software application, which has a first three-dimensional coordinate system. A step of storing the model of the first three-dimensional object in a model format is also included. The present method further includes the step retrieving the model of the first three-dimensional object in the model format into a second software application, the second software application having a second coordinate system. The present method also includes the step of manipulating a view of the model of the first three-dimensional object with the second software application and within the second coordinate system.




Fig. 4

EP 0 723 250 A2

Description

The present invention relates generally to the area of computer-aided design and computer-aided manufacturing (CAD/CAM) software, and more specifically to methods for enabling the transfer of three-dimensional data between CAD/CAM software applications.

Object Linking and Embedding (OLE) Overview

Within the office environment, one method that has been developed to enable "cutting" and "pasting" of data between software applications is "object linking and embedding (OLE)". OLE defines standardized interfaces and functions enabling users to transfer "objects" between software applications. The following section is an abbreviated overview of some of the concepts used in OLE version 2.0, from Microsoft Corporation of Bellevue, Washington, and defines some of the terms that will be used in the disclosure. Further information and details about OLE may be obtained from "Inside OLE 2" by Craig Brooks Schmidt, 1994, Microsoft Press, hereby incorporated by reference.

An example of cutting and pasting data between software applications is illustrated in Fig. 1. Fig. 1 illustrates a two-dimensional object 1 created in a first software application being transferred into a second software application. The first and second software applications (not shown) are commonly specialized software applications such as spread-sheets, word processors, or graphics programs. Once two-dimensional object 1 has been transferred, the second software application can manipulate its own data, two-dimensional object 2, so that two-dimensional object 2 interacts with two-dimensional object 1. The resulting document is then output to the user.

OLE provides a set of "interfaces", or groups of functions, which when combined provide the mechanics enabling the user to transfer data between programs. Fig. 2 illustrates the convention for representing an OLE interface 10, for an object 11 and a "consumer" 12 of the object. Object 11 is said to have an "interface implementation", including interfaces 13 and 14, that are analogous to an object oriented programming "class." Interfaces 13 and 14 include member functions 15 and 16, respectively, that are analogous to object oriented programming class "instances".

Consumer 12 receives data from object 11 by calling functions of interface 13 and/or interface 14. In some cases the consumer may only be aware of one of several interfaces available in an object. In response to the function calls, object 10 may return specific data about itself to the consumer 12. Object 10, however, maintains exclusive control of its own data 17. As further illustrated in Fig. 2, Unknown is an interface available to all object, that when queried for specific interfaces, returns pointers to the requested interface. For example, assuming consumer 12 knows which functions are available in interface 13, consumer 12 can ask for and receive a pointer to interface 14. Then, once consumer 12 receives a pointer to interface 14, consumer 12 can call member functions 16.

The functions provided in the currently available OLE standardize the transfer of placement and size information, of objects between software applications. Two type of "transferring" objects from a first software application to a second software application include "linking" and "embedding".

"Linking" an object that is created in a first software application to a second software application is when the object maintains its existence separate from the second software application, although the second software application can use and reference the object. Linking also allows the user to modify and edit the object with the first software application without having to invoke the second software application.

"Embedding" a first data object into a second software application is when the object is actually integrated with the data stored and used by the second software application. Embedding allows the user to modify and edit the object only after first invoking the second software application.

"Linking" an object is commonly preferred when the linked object includes a large quantity of data. One drawback to linking however, includes maintaining and remembering the particular path or directory of the linked object in the computer memory. "Embedding" an object is commonly preferred when the positioning and relationship of the embedded object to other data within the second software application is important to maintain. One drawback to embedding however, includes that the embedded object cannot be edited or modified by the user without invoking the second software application.

Two other commonly used OLE terms are "servers" and "containers". As illustrated in Fig. 2, the data 17 actually is only a portion of the object 10. The functions 15 and 16 serve to manipulate data 17 and to convey this data to the consumer 12. Because object 10 "serves" and manages the data, it is often called a "server". A "container" is defined as the user of the information contained within the server. In Fig. 2, the container is consumer 12. In a macroscopic scale, in the example in Fig. 1, the server is the first software application which manages object 1, and the container is the second software application. A container may access multiple servers, one for each object within the containers' "environment" and further, containers and servers may be nested.

The term "In Place Activation" is another important term in OLE. "In Place" activation enables a first software application to be active within a second software application. As illustrated in Fig. 1, an object 1 created in a spreadsheet application is inserted into a document 2 created by a word processing application. Without In Place activation capability, once object 1 is inserted into document 2, if the user wishes to revise the entries in object 1, normally the user would

have to quit the word processing application, enter the spreadsheet application, revise object 1, reinvoke the word processing program and then transfer the revised object 1. This indirect manner of editing transferred object occurs because the software application receiving the object only recognizes the object as a two-dimensional black box. With In Place activation, the user can directly invoke the spread sheet application from within the word processing application. OLE provides the interface capability for the two software applications so they can communicate with each other. As a result, the user can revise object 1 using the spreadsheet application without quitting the word processor application.

OLE version 2.0 is currently available with Microsoft Corporation's Windows™ operating system version 3.1. Currently many office environment software applications such as Microsoft Corporation's Excel and Word support OLE standards and interfaces. As was illustrated in Fig. 1, object 1, created in a first software application such as a spread sheet, is transferred into a second software application such as a word processor. Among other variables passed between the software applications, the second software application needs to know the two-dimensional size of the object 1 so that it can make room for it in the document. The second software application obtains the two-dimensional size of first data object 1 by calling and relying on OLE functions. Based upon the two-dimensional size, the second software application can modify its own data, object 2, to "wrap around" object 1.

OLE is not limited to two-dimensional objects and is also used to incorporate and transfer audio and video data between software applications. Current OLE functions work well with office environment data objects, i.e., two dimensional objects that are defined by a two-dimensional bounding boxes. OLE functions however, only allow the user to perform rudimentary two-dimensional functions on the boxes such as resizing, locating, and rotating.

CAD/CAM Market Overview

Application software specifically designed for architectural and engineering purposes are commonly labeled Computer Aided Design (CAD) and Computer Aided Manufacturing (CAM) software. Some of the standard features of CAD/CAM applications is the ability to create and manipulate three-dimensional objects and to position three-dimensional objects relative to other three-dimensional objects.

As illustrated in Fig. 3 a three-dimensional object in a CAD/CAM environment is typically represented as a two-dimensional image to the user in the form of a print-out or display. Examples of commonly displayed views of a three-dimensional object are a top, right-side, front, and isometric views. Fig. 3 illustrates that a top view, a right-side view, and a front view of three-dimensional object 20 are derived from orthogonal projections onto a top two-dimensional viewing plane 21, a right-side two-dimensional viewing plane 22, and a front two-dimensional viewing plane 23, respectively. Fig. 3, also illustrates that an isometric view of three-dimensional object 20 is derived from projections onto isometric viewing plane 24.

In the past, CAD/CAM software applications were tightly coupled to specialized computer hardware because of the heavy computational and display demands of the software. Because of this specialization, these CAD/CAM workstations were complete, self-contained working environments. Once the user used one vendor's workstations, there would be little possibility to use another vendor's workstations due to cost considerations. Because the user tended to stay with a particular vendor in the past, there was little need to transfer three-dimensional objects created in a first CAD/CAM application to a second CAD/CAM application.

With the increase in processing capabilities of personal computers, personal computers are now replacing the traditional workstations of the design engineer. This shift in the CAD/CAM computing environment has lessened the ties of the user to a particular vendor and now allows the user to choose the CAD/CAM application that best suits the problem or the user's preferences. In addition, with the increase in computing platforms, more than one engineer can now work on the same problem simultaneously.

Currently, CAD/CAM packages from different vendors rely upon proprietary data formats and do not allow the user to transfer objects created in one software application to another vendor's software application. Thus although computer hardware has become more advanced, the CAD/CAM software has not. What is needed are software functions and tools that enable the user to transfer data objects created by a first CAD/CAM software application into a second CAD/CAM software application.

Currently, if the user attempted to use the present two-dimensional functions of OLE and apply them in the area of CAD/CAM applications, the shape of a three-dimensional object would fail to be transferred. Fig. 4 illustrates a front view 30 of a first three-dimensional object created by a first software application and an isometric view 31 of a second three-dimensional object created by a second software application. Consistent with the OLE standard, front view 30 is defined by a bounding box 32 having dimensions 33 and 34. When front view 30 is transferred to the second software application, the second software application merely sees two-dimensional bounding box 32 and has no understanding of how to integrate the two objects in three-dimensional space. Because office environment concepts of data objects are only two-dimensional objects that are defined by two-dimensional bounding boxes, OLE is ill suited for use in the field of CAD/CAM applications. Further, even if it were somehow possible to transfer the two-dimensional shape of a

first three-dimensional object into a second software application, OLE does not provide a mechanism for transferring depth information of an object.

What is needed is a standardized method for allowing the user to transfer three-dimensional data between software applications.

The present invention provides enhancements and extensions to OLE for the CAD/CAM environment that allows the user to transfer an object created by a first software application to a second software application, while preserving the three-dimensional nature of the object.

Fig. 1 illustrates a two-dimensional object 1 created in a first software application being transferred into a second software application;

Fig. 2 illustrates the convention for representing an OLE interface for an object and a "consumer" of the object;

Fig. 3 illustrates that a top view, a right-side view, and a front view of three-dimensional object are derived from orthogonal projections onto a top two-dimensional viewing plane, a right-side two-dimensional viewing plane, and a front two-dimensional viewing plane, respectively;

Fig. 4 illustrates a front view of a first three-dimensional object created by a first software application and an isometric view of a second three-dimensional object created by a second software application;

Fig. 5 illustrates that a first three-dimensional object is created with a first software application and a second three-dimensional object is created in a second software application;

Fig. 6 illustrates the concept of transparency with a display of a three-dimensional object and a two-dimensional object in a three-dimensional coordinate system;

Fig. 7 is a block diagram of a system according to a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 8 illustrates the IOle3DObject interface in a user interface of an object;

Fig. 9 is a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of determining whether the object is a three-dimensional object;

Figs. 10a and 10b illustrate two different orientations of an object with regard to its own coordinate system and with regard to a container's coordinate system;

Figs. 11a and 11b are flow diagrams of one embodiment of the process of determining the actual extent of a three-dimensional object within a container using IOle3DObject::Get3DExtent;

Fig. 12 illustrates that a three-dimensional object 310 and a two-dimensional object are inserted into in a three-dimensional container;

Fig. 13 is a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of determining whether a two-dimensional container can retrieve a view of a three-dimensional object;

Fig. 14 illustrates a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of a two-dimensional container calling GetDefaultView to display a default view of a three-dimensional object;

Fig. 15 illustrates a default view (the front view) of a three-dimensional object is inserted into a two-dimensional container;

Fig. 16 illustrates a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of calling SetView to allow a two dimensional container to set and display a view of a three-dimensional object;

Fig. 17 illustrates a view of a three-dimensional object that is inserted in a two-dimensional container;

Fig. 18 illustrates the IViewGLObject interface for a user interface of an object;

Fig. 19 is a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of determining whether the object supports OpenGL COM;

Fig. 20 is a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of having a server displaying the object by calling OpenGL COM functions;

Fig. 21 illustrates the IOleInPlace3DObject interface for a user interface of an object;

Fig. 22 is a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of determining whether the three-dimensional object supports In Place activation;

Fig. 23 illustrates that the attachment matrix between the server's coordinate system and the container's coordinate system is initially calculated;

Fig. 24 illustrates a top view, a front view, and a right-side view of a three-dimensional object;

Fig. 25 illustrates the IOleInPlace3DSite interface for a user interface for a three-dimensional object;

Fig. 26 is a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of determining whether the three-dimensional object supports In Place activation;

Fig. 27 illustrates an object is embedded into a first container and first container embedded into a second container;

Fig. 28 illustrates the attachment matrix between the In Place active server's coordinate system and the immediately adjacent container's coordinate system is initially calculated;

Fig. 29 illustrates the IOleInPlaceViews interface for a user interface for a three-dimensional object;

Fig. 30 illustrates a IOleInPlaceActive3DObject interface for a user interface;

Fig. 31 illustrates the IOleLocate interface for a user interface of an object;

Fig. 32 is a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of locating elements in an object using the PointLocate function;

Fig. 33 is a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of locating elements in an object using the ShapeLocate function;

Figs. 34a - 34c illustrate the use of the IOleLocate::PointLocate function; and

Fig. 35 is a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of in-place activating.

One of the objectives of the following OLE extensions is to enable the user to transfer three-dimensional objects between software applications. An example of this is illustrated in Fig. 5. In Fig. 5 a first three-dimensional object 40 is created with a first software application and a second three-dimensional object 41 is created in a second software application. First three-dimensional object 40 includes top leg 42, bottom leg 43, and slot 44. When first three-dimensional object 50 is retrieved into the second software application, the second software application should be able to resize, rotate, and manipulate the first three-dimensional object as one of its own. (However the second software application will still not be able to edit the object.) As illustrated in Fig. 5, first three-dimensional object 40 and second three-dimensional object 41 are both rotated in the three-dimensional coordinate system of the second software application and are assembled. The second software application should be able to recognize the three-dimensional nature of first three-dimensional object 40, by allowing top leg 42 to obscure a portion of second three-dimensional object 41 and by allowing second three-dimensional object 41 to obscure a portion of bottom leg 43.

One specific result of the following OLE extensions is to enable three-dimensional objects to have transparent bounding boxes. Fig. 6 illustrates the concept of transparency with a display 50 of a three-dimensional object 51 and a two-dimensional object 52 in a three-dimensional coordinate system. Three-dimensional object 51 includes slot 54 and two-dimensional object 52 includes area 54.

The Current OLE enables the user to transfer two-dimensional "black boxes" of information without regard to the contents of the box. If a first three-dimensional object is returned into the second software application, the second software application should receive the actual shape of the three-dimensional object, and not obscure more of the image than necessary when transferred. As illustrated in Fig. 6, with the following OLE extensions the second software application recognizes that slot 53 allows the user to see through object 51, i.e. object 51 is transparent in slot 53, and thus the underlying two-dimensional object 52 remains visible in area 54.

In sum, adding the following described extensions to OLE provides the following capability 1) enabling the communication between three-dimensional objects, servers, and containers, as well as enabling communication between two-dimensional containers and three-dimensional objects and servers, and enabling communication between three-dimensional containers and two-dimensional objects; 2) enabling three-dimensional servers to navigate within a two or three-dimensional container environment, including enabling multiple In Place active views; and 3) enabling three-dimensional objects to interact with other objects within the container environment.

It is believed that one familiar with OLE, as described in "Inside OLE 2" by Craig Brockschmidt, would be able to readily use the following OLE extensions based upon the following disclosure. Further details about the preferred method of enabling such transfer of data are detailed in the following sections.

System Overview

Fig. 7 is a block diagram of a system 60 according to a preferred embodiment of the present invention. System 60 includes a display monitor 61, a computer 62, a keyboard 63, and a mouse 64. Computer 62 includes familiar computer components such as a processor 65, and memory storage devices such as a random access memory (RAM) 66, a disk drive 67, and a system bus 60 interconnecting the above components. Mouse 64 is but one example of a graphical input device, a digitizing tablet 65 is an example of another.

In a preferred embodiment, system 60 includes a IBM PC compatible personal computer, running Windows™ operating system Version 3.1 and OLE 2.0 by Microsoft Corporation, and Avalon™ software, currently under development by Intergraph Corporation. The appendix includes preferred embodiments of the Avalon™ OLE extensions described below, written in Visual C++. The appendix also includes sample source code programs that incorporate the Avalon™ OLE extensions.

Fig. 7 is representative of but one type of system for embodying the present invention. It will be readily apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art that many system types and configurations are suitable for use in conjunction with the present invention.

Three-Dimensional Extensions to OLE

0. Type Definitions

1. Interfaces Enabling Three-Dimensional Object Handling

1.1. IOle3DObject interface

- 1.2. IViewGLObject interface
- 1.3. IOleInPlace3DObject interface
- 2. Interfaces Enabling Navigation of A Container Environment
- 2.1. IOleInPlace3DSite interface
- 2.2. IOleInPlaceViews interface
- 2.3. IOleInPlaceActive3DObject interface
- 3. Interfaces Enabling Three-Dimensional Object Interaction
- 3.1. IOleLocate interface

0. Type Definitions

The following are type definitions, described in the preferred embodiment in C++, used in the descriptions of the preferred embodiment of the formal CLE extension interfaces.

tagDVREF is used to specify how the object is displayed to the user.

```
typedef enum tagDVREF { // Standard representations
    DVREF_CONTENT = 1, // display all the details of the
                        // object
    DVREF_SIMPLIFIED = 2, // display a simplified version
    DVREF_SYMBOL = 4, // display as a symbol
    DVREF_TEXT = 8 // display only the text description
} DVREF;
```

EXTENT3D is a list of coordinates defining the range of the object in three dimensions.

```
// Extent definition
typedef double* EXTENT3D; // Low point, and High points (6
                          // doubles)
typedef EXTENTthree-dimensional LPEXTENT3D;
```

Clipping plane equations are a set of four points in space that define a plane, which in turn defines a boundary in space.

```
// Clipping plane equations
typedef double* CLIPPLANEQUATION; // 6 plane equations complying with GL
format (24 doubles)
typedef CLIPPLANEQUATION LPCLIPPLANES;
```

XFORM3D is a matrix used for conveying parameters to the three-dimensional rendering utility.

```
// XForm matrix
```

```

typedef double* XFORM3D;           // Matrix of 16 doubles complying with
                                  GL format
typedef XFORMthree-dimensional LPXFORM3D;

```

1. Interfaces Enabling Three-Dimensional Object Handling

The new OLE interfaces as described herein allow containers and servers, both which manipulate three-dimensional objects, to take advantage of the third dimension while maintaining backwards capability. Specifically, the new interfaces allow two-dimensional objects to be inserted into three-dimensional containers and three-dimensional objects into two-dimensional containers. Manipulation of an object however, still does not add the capability of editing a transferred object.

Hereinafter, unless specified, the term "container" refers to a container that supports either two dimensional or three-dimensional objects, and the term "object" refers to a three-dimensional object or a two-dimensional object.

1.1 IOle3DObject Interface

The IOle3DObject interface is an extension of the existing OLE IOleObject interface and allows a three-dimensional container to retrieve three-dimensional information about an object. IOle3DObject functions are used in the same programming context as the IOleObject functions, with added functionality described below. The IOle3DObject interface also allows a two-dimensional container that understands three-dimensions to specify and retrieve a view of a three-dimensional object.

Fig. 8 illustrates the IOle3DObject interface 70 in a user interface 72 of an object. User interface 72 includes an IUnknown interface 74 that is available in all OLE objects, and an IOleObject interface 76 that is also available in all OLE objects. IUnknown interface 74 includes an interface implementation having a function 78, that when called returns a pointer to IOle3DObject interface 70 if the object is a three-dimensional object and a function 80, that when called returns a pointer to IOleObject interface 76. IOleObject interface 76 includes an implementation having a function 82 that when called returns a pointer to IOle3DObject interface 70 if the object is a three-dimensional object. IOle3DObject interface 70 includes an implementation having functions 84, 86, 88, 90, and 92, which are described below.

Fig. 9 is a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of determining whether the object is a three-dimensional object.

During initialization of an object, (e.g., creating or loading an object) the container queries IUnknown function 78 for a pointer to IOle3DObject interface 70 (step 100). At the same time, the container queries IUnknown function 80 for a pointer to IOleObject interface 76 in case the object is not a three-dimensional object (step 110).

In a preferred embodiment, the container further queries IOleObject function 82 for a pointer to IOle3DObject interface 70. Once IOle3DObject interface 70 is located, the container queries IOle3DObject function 84 and ensures there is a pointer to IOleObject interface 76.

If the query of IOleObject function 82 or IUnknown function 78 return a NULL pointer (step 120), the object is not a three-dimensional object and the container must treat the object as a two-dimensional object (step 130). If the above queries return a pointer to IOle3DObject interface 70 the container can treat the object as a three-dimensional object (step 140).

In practical terms, if a first software application creates a three-dimensional object using the OLE IOle3DObject interface extensions described herein, a second software application, will then be able to call IOle3DObject functions to obtain three-dimensional data about the object.

The following is a description of the preferred embodiment of the formal IOle3DObject interface:

```

interface IOle3DObject : IUnknown {
    // * IUnknown methods * //
    HRESULT QueryInterface (REFIID riid, LPVOID FAR* ppvObj);
    ULONG AddRef ();
    ULONG Release ();
    // * IOle3DObject methods * //
    HRESULT Get3DExtent (DVRP dwRep, LPEXTEXTthree-
        dimensional pExtent);
    HRESULT GetDefaultView (LPXFORMthree-dimensional pVTow,
        LPXFORMthree-dimensional pVTov, WORD
        wPlaneCnt, LPCLIPLANES pClip);
    HRESULT SetView (LPXFORMthree-dimensional pVTow,
        LPXFORMthree-dimensional pVTov, WORD
        wPlaneCnt, LPCLIPLANES pClip);
};

```

1.1.1. IOle3DObject::Get3DExtent

The first IOle3DObject function Get3DExtent returns the "extents" (or range) of an object in three-dimensions in response to being called by a container. This function is roughly analogous to the current OLE function IOleObject::GetExtent except a third dimension is included. An object that supports the IOle3DObject interface must also support the IOleObject interface in order to be backwards compatible with two-dimensional containers.

Before the Get3DExtent function is called, the container must first calculate an "attachment" matrix. An attachment matrix is a mapping between a three-dimensional object's system to the container's system, as illustrated in Figs. 10a and 10b.

Figs. 10a and 10b illustrate two different orientations of an object 160 with regard to its own coordinate system 170 and with regard to a container's coordinate system 180. As illustrated, the object 160 can be moved, related, and resized in three dimensions with respect to coordinate system 180. The size and orientation of object 160 relative to its own coordinate system 170 however remains constant. The container keeps track of the positioning and size of object 160 within its coordinate system 180 by calculating and maintaining an attachment matrix that maps coordinate system 170 to coordinate system 180.

Figs. 11a and 11b are flow diagrams of one embodiment of the process of determining the actual extent of a three-dimensional object within a container using IOle3DObject::Get3DExtent.

In Fig. 11a, for a container to calculate the actual size of an object within the container's coordinate system, an attachment matrix is first calculated (step 200).

Once the attachment matrix is calculated, the container calls IOle3DObject::Get3DExtent (step 210). As just described with Figs. 10a and 10b, although a container manipulates the view of the object within the container's coordinate system, the object maintains its relationship to its own coordinate system. In response to the function call Get3DExtent, the server returns the extent, or range, of the object relative to its own coordinate system.

Once the attachment matrix and the extent of the object have been determined, the container then calculates the extent of the object within the container's coordinate system (step 220), in the preferred embodiment by multiplying the attachment matrix to the extent values.

In the situation where the object is two-dimensional and the container is three-dimensional, the extents of the object can still be calculated, as illustrated in Fig. 11b. An attachment matrix is first calculated in step 240.

Once this attachment matrix has been calculated, the container calls the standard OLE function IOleObject::GetExtent (step 250). In response to this function call, the server returns the two-dimensional extent of the object relative to its own coordinate system. Next, the container calculates the actual extent of the object within the container's coordinate system (step 260), in the preferred embodiment by multiplying the attachment matrix to the extent values.

The implications of mapping a two-dimensional object into a three-dimensional container are illustrated in Fig. 12. In Fig. 12, a three-dimensional object 310 and a two-dimensional object 320 are inserted into in a three-dimensional

container. The original two-dimensional object 330 is also illustrated. Because a two-dimensional object is mapped into three-dimensional space, the object can be manipulated in three dimensions by the container and can interact with other objects such as three-dimensional object 310.

The following is a description of the preferred embodiment of the formal Get3DExtent interface:

```

IObject3D::Get3DExtent
HRESULT IObject3D::Get3DExtent (DWORD dwRep, LPEXTENTthree-
                                dimensional pExtent)

Returns the three-dimensional extent of a three-dimensional object,
depending on its representation.
Argument      Type      Description
dwRep         DVREP      Type of representation requested. It is an extension of
the two-dimensional aspect of IObject3D::GetExtent.
This argument is a DVREP type.
pExtent       LPEXTENT3D Array of 6 doubles representing the low and high
points of the object expressed in the server
coordinate system.

return value   S_OK       The extent is returned successfully.
E_INVALIDARG   One of the arguments is invalid.
E_OUTOFMEMORY  Out of memory.
E_UNEXPECTED   An unexpected error happened.

```

1.1.2 IObject3D::GetDefaultView

The next IObject3D function GetDefaultView specifically provides a two-dimensional container the ability to retrieve a predefined view of a three-dimensional object. As was illustrated in Fig. 3, a three-dimensional object is typically viewed as a two-dimensional object on a viewing plane. One view such as front view 23, is further predefined as a default view.

Fig. 13 is a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of determining whether a two-dimensional container can retrieve a view of a three-dimensional object.

If a two-dimensional container does not support three-dimensional objects, i.e. is not aware of the IObject3D interface, the two-dimensional container queries IUnknown function 76 in Fig. 7 for a pointer to IObject interface 76 (steps 350 and 360). If the two-dimensional container supports three-dimensional objects, the two-dimensional container queries IUnknown function 78 for a pointer to IObject3D interface 70 (steps 350 and 370).

If the query of IUnknown function 78 returns a NULL pointer (step 380), the object is not a three-dimensional object and the container treats the object as a conventional two-dimensional object. When either the object is two-dimensional, or the container does not support a three-dimensional object, the container retrieves a conventional two-dimensional view of the object (step 430). If the query in step 380 returns a pointer to IObject3D interface 70 the container treats the object as a three-dimensional object (step 400).

Once the container determines it can support a three-dimensional object, the container retrieves a two-dimensional view of the object.

Fig. 14 illustrates a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of a two-dimensional container calling GetDefaultView to display a default view of a three-dimensional object.

Before the GetDefaultView function is called, the container must first calculate a "transformation" matrix between the server's three-dimensional coordinate system and the display's two-dimensional coordinate system. Another term used for this matrix is a "server world to view" matrix, where the server world is the object's coordinate system and the "view" is the display's coordinate system.

In Fig. 14, for a server to determine the display position of the default view of the object on the display, the "transformation matrix" is first calculated (step 420).

Once the transformation matrix is calculated, the container calls IObject3D::GetDefaultView (step 430) and passes the transformation matrix to the server. Based upon the transformation matrix, the server displays a default view

of the object to the display (step 440). The actual process of a server displaying the object will be discussed later in this disclosure.

The result of a two-dimensional container retrieving a default view of a three-dimensional object and displaying it is illustrated in Fig. 15. In Fig. 15 a default view 460 (the front view) of a three-dimensional object 470 is inserted into a two-dimensional container. After the container retrieves the three-dimensional object and passes the transformation matrix to the server, the server calculates and displays default view 460 to the display. The actual process of a server displaying the object will be discussed later in this disclosure. Because the container is also aware of the transformation matrix and positioning of the object, as illustrated, the container can then manipulate its data 480 to interact with default view 460.

The actual display position of the three-dimensional object in the container is governed by the variable `lprcPosRect` returned by the function call `OleInPlaceSite::GetWindowContext` as described in "Inside OLE 2" described above.

The following is a description of the preferred embodiment of the formal `GetDefaultView` interface:

```

Iole3DObject::GetDefaultView
HRESULT Iole3DObject ::GetDefaultView      (LPXFORM3D* ppVTow, LPXFORM3D*
                                             ppWToV, WORD* pwPlaneCnt,
                                             LPCLIPPLANES* ppClip)

Returns the default view with which a server displays.
Argument      Type      Description
ppVTow        LPXFORM3D* Matrix representing the View to Server World
                                   (pixel to three-dimensional (real world)
                                   coordinate system) Transformation Matrix. This
                                   matrix is a 4x4 matrix as described in OpenGL view
  
```

matrices. It includes Rotation, Translation, Scale, Perspective and shearing information.

5 ppWtoV LPFXFORM3D* Matrix representing the Server World to View Transformation Matrix. This matrix is a 4x4 matrix as described in OpenGL view matrices. It includes Rotation, Translation, Scale, Perspective and shearing information. This is the inverse of the pvtow argument without perspective or projections.

10 pwPlaneCnt WORD* Number of clipping planes used to display. This number can vary between 0 and 6. When the number is 0, the pointer to the clipping planes equations can be null.

15 ppClip LPCLIPPLANES* Equations of the clipping planes expressed into the Object coordinate system. Each clipping plane is represented by the 4 coefficients of the plane equation. There is a maximum of 6 clipping planes; this is an array of 24 doubles. The definition of the clipping planes is the same as in OpenGL.

20 return value S_OK The display context is returned successfully.

25 E_INVALIDARG One of the arguments is invalid.

30 E_OUTOFMEMORY Out of memory.

35 E_UNEXPECTED An unexpected error happened.

1.1.3 IOle3DObject::SetView

The third IOle3DObject function specifically provides a two-dimensional container the ability to specify a view of the three-dimensional object. As is illustrated in Fig. 3, three-dimensional container must be view and displayed from a viewing plane. The present function allows a two-dimensional container to define that viewing plane.

Fig. 16 illustrates a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of calling SetView to allow a two-dimensional container to set and display a view of a three-dimensional object.

In Fig. 16, for a server to determine the display position of the default view on a display, a transformation matrix (as described above) between the server's coordinate system and the display's coordinate is first calculated (step 490).

Once the transformation matrix is calculated, the container calls IOle3DObject::SetView (step 500) and passes the transformation matrix to the server. Based upon the transformation matrix, the server displays a view of the object to the display (step 510). The actual process of a server displaying the object will be discussed later in this disclosure.

50 The result of a two-dimensional container defining a view of a three-dimensional object is illustrated in Fig. 17. In Fig. 17 a view 530 of a three-dimensional object is inserted in a two-dimensional container. After the container retrieves the three-dimensional object and passes the transformation matrix to the server, the server calculates and displays view 530 to the display. Because the container is also aware of the transformation matrix and positioning of the object, as illustrated, the container can then manipulate its data 540 to interact with view 530.

The following is a description of the preferred embodiment of the formal SetView interface:

```

Iole3DObject::SetView
5  HRESULT Iole3DObject::SetView      (LPXFORMthree-dimensional pTOW,
                                      LPXFORMthree-dimensional pTov, WORD
                                      wPlaneCnt, LPCLIPPLANES pClip)

Allows the container to specify the view with which a server displays.

10 Argument   Type           Description
pTOW LPXFORM3D      Matrix representing the View to Server World
                        (pixel to three-dimensional (real world)
                        coordinate system) Transformation Matrix. This
                        matrix is a 4x4 matrix as described in OpenGL view
15 matrices. It includes Rotation, Translation,
                        Scale, Perspective and shearing information.
pTov LPXFORM3D      Matrix representing the Server World to View
                        Transformation Matrix. This matrix is a 4x4
20 matrix as described in OpenGL view matrices. It
                        includes Rotation, Translation, Scale, Perspective
                        and shearing information. This is the inverse of
                        the pTOW argument without perspective or
25 projections.
wPlaneCnt  WORD      Number of clipping planes used to display.
                        This number can vary between 0 and 6. When
                        the number is 0, the pointer to the clipping
20 planes equations can be null.
pClip LPCLIPPLANES  Equations of the clipping planes expressed into
                        the object coordinate system. Each clipping plane
                        is represented by the 4 coefficients of the plane
35 equation. There is a maximum of 6 clipping
                        planes; this is an array of 24 doubles. The
                        definition of the clipping planes is the same as
                        in OpenGL.

40 return value      S_OK      The operation is successful.
E_INVALIDARG        One of the arguments is invalid.
E_OUTOFMEMORY        Out of memory.
E_UNEXPECTED        An unexpected error happened.
45

```

1.2. IViewGLObject

The IViewGLObject interface is an extension of the existing OLE IViewObject and allows a three-dimensional server to render a view of the object using IGL interface routines. IViewGLObject functions are used in the same programming context as the IViewObject functions, with added functionality described below. The IGL interface, OpenGL COM, includes standardized three-dimensional graphics rendering functions.

Fig. 18 illustrates the IViewGLObject interface 550 for a user interface 560 of an object. User interface 560 includes an IUnknown interface 570 that is available in all OLE objects, and an IViewObject interface 580 that is also available in all OLE objects. IUnknown interface 570 includes a function 590 that when called returns a pointer to IViewGLObject interface 550 if the three dimensional object can support OpenGL COM rendering interfaces and a function 600 that when called returns a pointer to IViewObject interface 580. IViewObject interface 580 includes a function 610 that when

called returns a pointer to IViewGLObject interface 550 if the three-dimensional object can support OpenGL COM rendering and also includes a standard OLE Draw function 620. IView3DObject interface 550 includes a function 630 that when called returns a pointer to IViewObject interface 580, and includes a Draw function 640 as will be described below.

Fig. 19 is a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of determining whether the object supports OpenGL COM.

During initialization of an object (e.g., creating or loading an object) the container queries IUnknown function 590 for a pointer to IViewGLObject interface 550 (step 660). At the same time, the container queries IUnknown function 600 for a pointer to IViewObject interface 550 in case the object does not support OpenGL COM (step 670).

In a preferred embodiment, the container further queries IViewObject function 590 for a pointer to IView3DObject interface 550. Once IView3DObject interface 550 is located, the container queries IView3DObject function 620 and ensures there is a pointer to IObject interface 580.

If the query of IViewObject function 610 or IUnknown function 590 return a NULL pointer (step 680), the server does not support the OpenGL COM rendering and the object is displayed in the conventional manner provided by OLE (step 690). If the above queries return a pointer to IView3DObject interface 550 the server supports OpenGL COM (step 700).

The following is a description of the preferred embodiment of the formal IViewGLObject interface:

```

interface IViewGLObject : IUnknown {
    // * IUnknown methods * //
    HRESULT QueryInterface (REFIID riid, LPVOID FAR* ppvObj);
    ULONG AddRef ();
    ULONG Release ();

    // * IViewGLObject methods * //
    HRESULT Draw (DWORD dwRep, LPICL pICL, LPXFORMthree-dimensional
        pVTow, LPXFORMthree-dimensional pWToV, WORD
        wPlaneCnt, LPCLIPPLANES pClip);
};

```

1.2.1 IViewGLObject::Draw

The use of the IViewGLObject::Draw function is relative straightforward. Fig. 20 is a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of having a server displaying the object by calling OpenGL COM functions.

In Fig. 20, the transformation matrix between the container's coordinate system and the display (World to View) is calculated (step 720). Next, clipping plane equations that specify which portions of the object are rendered, are defined (step 730). Based upon the transformation matrix and the clipping plane equations, the server then calls IViewGLObject::Draw to render the object (step 740).

The following is a description of the preferred embodiment of the formal Draw interface:

IViewGLObj::Draw

HRESULT IViewGLObj::Draw (DVREP dwRep, LPIGL pIGL, LPXFORMthree-dimensional pVTow, LPXFORMthree-dimensional pWtoV, WORD wPlaneCnt, LPCLIPPLANES * pClip)

Displays a server within a display context.

Argument Type Description

dwRep DVREP Type of representation requested. It is an extension of the two-dimensional aspect of IOleObject::GetExtent. This argument is a DVREP type.

pIGL LPIGL Pointer to the IGL interface. To display, the server simply calls IGL functions on the IGL interface pointer.

pVTow LPXFORM3D Matrix representing the View to World (pixel to three-dimensional (real worlds) coordinate system) Transformation Matrix of the OuterMost In-Place container. This matrix is a 4x4 matrix as described in OpenGL view matrices. It includes Rotation, Translation, Scale, Perspective and shearing information.

pWtoV LPXFORM3D Matrix representing the World to View Transformation Matrix of the OuterMost In-Place container. This matrix is a 4x4 matrix as described in OpenGL view matrices. It includes Rotation, Translation, Scale, Perspective and shearing information. If there is no perspective

or projections, this is the inverse of the pVtoW argument.

5 wPlaneCnt WORD Number of clipping planes used to display.
 This number can vary between 0 and 6. When
 the number is 0, the pointer to the clipping
 planes equations can be null.

10 pClip LPCLIPPLANES Equations of the clipping planes expressed into
 the Object coordinate system. Each clipping plane
 is represented by the 4 coefficients of the plane
 equation. There is a maximum of 6 clipping
 planes; this is an array of 24 doubles. The
 definition of the clipping planes is the same as
 in OpenGL.

15 return value \$_OK Operation is successful.

20 E_INVALIDARG One of the arguments is invalid.

 E_UNEXPECTED An unexpected error happened

25 Note, the View to World and inverse World to View matrices are both calculated. The World to View matrix is important to the server for view independent displays, for example, when text within an object should not display sheared, rotated or skewed.

30 1.3. IOleInPlace3DObject

The IOleInPlace3DObject interface is used by three-dimensional container applications to negotiate the three-dimensional display context, especially during In Place activation of the server.

Fig. 21 illustrates the IOleInPlace3DObject interface 760 for a user interface 770 of an object. User interface 770 includes an IUnknown interface 780 that is available in all OLE objects, and an IOleInPlaceObject interface 790 that is also available in all OLE objects which can In Place activate. IUnknown interface 780 includes a function 800 that when called returns a pointer to IOleInPlace3DObject interface 760 and a function 810 that when called returns a pointer to IOleInPlaceObject interface 790. IOleInPlaceObject interface 790 includes a function 820 that when called returns a pointer to IOleInPlace3DObject interface 760. IOleInPlace3DObject interface 760 includes a function 830 that when called returns a pointer to IOleInPlaceObject interface 790 and also includes a OnModelMatrixChange function 840 as will be described below.

Fig. 22 is a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of determining whether the three-dimensional object supports In Place activation.

During initialization of an object, (e.g., creating or loading an object) the container queries IUnknown function 800 for a pointer to IOleInPlace3DObject interface 760 (step 860). At the same time, the container queries IUnknown function 810 for a pointer to IOleInPlaceObject interface 790 in case the object is not a three-dimensional object (step 870).

In a preferred embodiment the container further queries IOleInPlaceObject function 820 for a pointer to IOleInPlace3DObject interface 760.

If the query of IOleInPlaceObject function 820 or IUnknown function 810 return a NULL pointer (step 890), the object is not a three-dimensional object and the object is In Place activated using conventional OLE functions (step 890). If the above queries return a pointer to IOleInPlace3DObject interface 760 the three-dimensional object can be In Place activated (step 900).

The following is a description of the preferred embodiment of the formal IOleInPlace3DObject interface:

```

interface IOleInPlace3DObject : IUnknown {
    // * IUnknown methods * //
    HRESULT QueryInterface (REFIID riid, LPVOID FAR* ppvObj);
    ULONG AddRef ();
    ULONG Release ();
    // * IOleInPlace3DObject methods * //
    HRESULT OnModelMatrixChange (LPXFORMthree-dimensional pMatrix);
};

```

1.3.1 IOleInPlace3DObject::OnModelMatrixChange

The OnModelMatrix function provides the In Place active server with a new attachment matrix when the container modifies its coordinate system.

Fig. 23 is a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of using the IOleInPlace3DObject::OnModelMatrixChange function. In Fig. 23, the attachment matrix between the server's coordinate system and the container's coordinate system are initially calculated (step 920). Then, when the container's coordinate system is modified (step 930), the container calculates a modified attachment matrix between the server's coordinate system and the modified container coordinate system (step 940). The container then calls OnModelMatrixChange to notify the In Place Server of the modified attachment matrix (step 950). With the modified attachment matrix, the In Place Server can then take the modified attachment matrix, for example, and call IViewGLObj::Draw to draw an updated view of the object.

The following is a description of the preferred embodiment of the formal OnModelMatrixChange interface:

```

IOleInPlace3DObject::OnModelMatrixChange
HRESULT IOleInPlace3DObject::OnModelMatrixChange
    (LPXFORMthree-dimensional pMatrix)

```

Notifies the in-place object that the outermost three-dimensional container changed its model transformation matrix.

Argument	Type	Description
pMatrix	In	Pointer to an array of 16 doubles representing the 4x4 transformation from the in-place server to the outermost three-dimensional container. This matrix is ordered in the same way as a model transformation in OpenGL. It should not include any component that would make it singular (for example, perspective or projection). The matrix is allocated and deallocated by the caller.
return value	S_OK	The notification is done successfully.
E_OUTOFMEMORY		The matrix cannot be allocated.
E_INVALIDARG		The argument is invalid.
E_UNEXPECTED		An unexpected error happened

In the case where containers are nested within other containers, the attachment matrix is built-up by concatenating all the attachment matrices from adjacent containers and servers. The resulting matrix is thus a mapping between the outermost three-dimensional container to the in-place server.

2. Interfaces Enabling Navigation of A Container Environment

The new OLE interface as described herein provides a transition for three-dimensional servers and containers that include large numbers of objects having complicated relationships. Particular features enabled by these OLE interfaces include objects having non-rectangular boundaries and having transparent regions. Another particular feature enabled by these OLE interfaces includes enabling a container to provide multiple views of an object, for example a top, right-side, and front view of an object, at the same time. These new OLE interfaces also allow objects to become simultaneously In Place active in the different views, for example the object can be edited in one view and the results carried over automatically to the other views of the object.

Fig. 24 illustrates a top view 970, a front view 980, and a right-side view 990 of a three-dimensional object 1000. When three-dimensional object 1000 is activated or retrieved, the server asks the container for positions in which the container supports In Place activation, for example positions 1010, 1020, and 1030. In response to the container's positions, the server creates a "child" view of the object corresponding to each of those positions. Each view is individually controlled in a manner similar to the standard OLE method of displaying a view of the object. In Fig. 24, the server displays top view 970 in position 1010, front view 980 in position 1020, and right-side view 990 in position 1030.

Because each view is simultaneously In Place active, each view allows the server to receive events such as mouse clicks. With standard OLE, when a mouse click occurs outside the In Place active view, the view is deactivated and cannot receive input. With the OLE extensions, however, events occurring outside the In Place Active view is received by the server without the server being deactivated. The default way to deactivate a server is with an (esc), although the server can provide a menu selection or may interpret a double-click on another object as a signal become inactive.

The primary interface facilitating these functions is IOleInPlaceViews, to which the server obtains a pointer via IOleInPlace3DSite::GetWindowContext, as will be described below.

2.1 IOleInPlace3DSite

The IOleInPlace3DSite interface is an extension of the existing OLE IOleInPlaceSite interface and provides support for "In Place" activation of software applications creating three-dimensional objects. IOleInPlace3DSite functions are used in the same programming context as the IOleInPlaceSite functions, with added functionality described below. Specifically, the IOleInPlace3DSite interface allows servers to get the three-dimensional and view information from the container.

Fig. 25 illustrates the IOleInPlace3DSite interface 1050 for a user interface 1060 for a three-dimensional object. User interface 1060 includes an IUnknown interface 1070 that is available in all OLE objects, and an IOleInPlaceSite interface 1080 that is also available in all OLE objects which can In Place activate. IUnknown interface 1070 includes a function 1090 that when called returns a pointer to IOleInPlace3DSite interface 1050 and a function 1100 that when called returns a pointer to IOleInPlaceSite interface 1080. IOleInPlaceSite interface 1080 includes a function 1110 that when called returns a pointer to IOleInPlace3DSite interface 1050. IOleInPlace3DSite interface 1050 includes a Get-ModelMatrix function 1120 and a GetWindowContext function 1130 as will be described below.

Fig. 26 is a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of determining whether the three-dimensional object supports In Place activation.

During initialization of an object, (e.g., creating or loading an object) the container queries IUnknown function 1070 for a pointer to IOleInPlace3DSite interface 1050 (step 1150). At the same time, the container queries IUnknown function 1100 for a pointer to IOleInPlaceSite interface 1080 (step 1160).

In a preferred embodiment, the container further queries IOleInPlaceSite function 1110 to ensure there is a pointer to IOleInPlace3DSite interface 1050.

If the query of IOleInPlaceSite function 1110 or IUnknown function 1090 return a NULL pointer (step 1170), the three-dimensional object can not be In Place activated in more than one view (step 1180). If the above queries return a pointer to IOleInPlace3DSite interface 1050 the three-dimensional object can be In Place activated in multiple views (step 1190).

The following is a description of the preferred embodiment of the formal IOleInPlace3DSite interface:

```

interface IOleInPlace3DSite : IUnknown {
    // * IUnknown methods * //
    HRESULT QueryInterface (REFIID riid, LPVOID FAR* ppvObj);
    ULONG AddRef ();
    ULONG Release ();
    // * IOleInPlace3DSite methods * //
    HRESULT GetModelMatrix (LPOLEINPLACEVIEW* pMatrix);
    HRESULT GetWindowContext (LPOLEINPLACEVIEW* ppInPlaceViews);

```

2.1.1 OleInPlace3DSite::GetModelMatrix

The first OleInPlace3DSite function GetModelMatrix allows an embedded server to determine the attachment matrix between the server and the top-most container's coordinate system. Fig. 27 illustrates the general concept of embedding a server. In Fig. 27, an object 1210 is embedded into a first container 1220 and first container 1220 embedded into a second container 1230. In order to facilitate positioning of object 1210 when the server is In Place active, an attachment matrix between the second container 1230 to the object 1210 is calculated, bypassing first container 1220.

Fig. 28 is a flow diagram of one embodiment of the IOleInPlace3DSite::GetModelMatrix function. In Fig. 28, the attachment matrix between the In Place active server's coordinate system and the immediately adjacent container's coordinate system is initially calculated (step 1250). The server then determines whether the immediately adjacent container was the top-most container (step 1260). If, not the attachment matrix between the immediately adjacent container and the preceding container is calculated and appended to the attachment matrix (step 1270). Steps 1260 and 1270 are repeated until an attachment matrix between the top-most container and the server is calculated. This attachment matrix is then passed to the server (step 1280).

The following is a description of the preferred embodiment of the formal GetModelMatrix interface:

```

IOleInPlace3DSite::GetModelMatrix
HRESULT IOleInPlace3DSite::GetModelMatrix
    (LPOLEINPLACEVIEW* pMatrix)
    Gets the transformation matrix from the outermost three-dimensional
    container to the in-place server.
    Argument      Type      Description

```

pMatrix	LPOLEINPLACEVIEW	Pointer to an array of 16 doubles representing the 4x4 transformation from the in-place server to the outermost three-dimensional container. This matrix is ordered in the same way as a model transformation in OpenGL. The matrix is allocated and deallocated by the caller.
return value	S_OK	The matrix is returned successfully.
E_UNEXPECTED		An unexpected error happened.

This function is called by the in-place server and recurses until it reaches the outermost three-dimensional container, concatenating the matrices.

2.1.2. IOleInPlace3DSite::GetWindowContext

The second IOleInPlace3DSite function GetWindowContext provides an interface between the outermost container and the In Place Server. As was illustrated in Fig. 27 object 1210 may be embedded into first container 1220 and first container 1220 embedded into second container 1230. Because the object can be embedded within several layers of containers, upon In Place activation of the server, the server and the top most container need to communicate with each other in order to pass system events. This interface is partially accomplished by passing a pointer to the IOleInPlaceViews interface of the outermost container to the object. The pointer to IOleInPlaceViews derives from the function GetWindowContext. IOleInPlaceViews interface will be described below.

The following is description of the preferred embodiment of the formal GetWindowContext interface:

```
IOleInPlace3DSite::GetWindowContext
HRESULT IOleInPlace3DSite :: GetWindowContext
    (LPOLEINPLACEVIEWS* ppInPlaceViews)
Returns the outermost three-dimensional container window context.
Argument:      Type      Description
ppInPlaceViews  LPOLEINPLACEVIEWS*  Pointer to the IOleInPlaceViews
                                     interface of the outermost
                                     three-dimensional container..
return value    S_OK      The context is returned
                                     successfully.
                E_INVALIDARG  One of the arguments is invalid
                E_UNEXPECTED  An unexpected error happened.
```

This function recurses until it reaches the outermost three-dimensional container and returns its IOleInPlaceViews interface to the in-place server. This function establishes the handshaking between the outermost three-dimensional container and the three-dimensional in-place server.

2.2. IOleInPlaceViews

The IOleInPlaceViews interface is derived from the IOleInPlace3DSite::GetWindowContext function (described above) and provides support for "in Place" activation of software applications that create three-dimensional objects. IOleInPlaceViews functions are used in the same programming context as the IOleInPlaceUIWindow functions, with added functionality described below. More specifically, IOleInPlaceViews allows servers to obtain view information from the three-dimensional containers, such as the location and size of views 1010, 1020, and 1030, in Fig. 24.

Fig. 29 illustrates the IOleInPlaceViews interface 1300 for a user interface 1310 for a three-dimensional object. User interface 1310 also includes a IOleInPlaceUIWindow interface 1320 that allows In Place active objects to negotiate border space. IOleInPlaceUIWindow includes function 1330. IOleInPlaceViews interface 1300 includes a EnumInPlaceViews function 1340, a GetViewContext function 1350, and a SetActive3DObject function 1360 as will be described below.

The following is a description of the preferred embodiment of the formal IOleInPlaceViews interface:

```

interface IOleInPlaceViews : IUnknown {
    // * IUnknown methods * //
    HRESULT QueryInterface (REFIID riid, LPVOID FAR* ppvObj);
    ULONG AddRef ();
    ULONG Release ();
    // * IOleInPlaceViews methods * //
    HRESULT EnumInPlaceViews (LPENUMHWN* ppenumHwnd);
    HRESULT GetViewContext (HWND hwnd, LPIGL* pIGL, LPXFORMthree-
        dimensional pVTOW, LPXFORMthree-dimensional
        pWTOV);
    HRESULT SetActive3DObject (LPOLEINPLACEACTIVE3DOBJECT
        p3DActiveObj);
};

```

2.2.1. IOleInPlaceViews::EnumInPlaceViews

The first IOleInPlaceViews function EnumInPlaceViews allows the server to receive a list of the container's view in which the server is in Place Activated. For example, in Fig. 24, views 1010, 1020, and 1030 would be enumerated as supporting In Place activation of the server.

The following is a description of the preferred embodiment of the formal EnumInPlaceViews interface:

```

IOleInPlaceViews::EnumInPlaceViews
    HRESULT IOleInPlaceViews::EnumInPlaceViews
        (LPENUMHWN* ppenumHwnd)
    Returns the list of in-place active windows into the container.

```

Argument	Type	Description
ppenumHwnd	LPENUMHWN*	Enumerator of the views used for in-place activation.
return value	S_OK	The Display context information is passed successfully.
E_OUTOFMEMORY		The enumerator cannot be allocated.
E_INVALIDARG		One of the arguments is invalid
E_UNEXPECTED		An unexpected error happened.

This function, implemented by three-dimensional graphic containers, is called by In-Place three-dimensional servers to know the list of views concerned by in-place activation. Once the object has this list, it can ask for their context by calling IOleInPlaceViews::GetViewContext.

2.2.2. IOleInPlaceViews::GetViewContext

The second IOleInPlaceViews function GetViewContext allows the server to obtain a transformation matrix between the top most container coordinate system and the display (i.e., world to view matrix). With this transformation

matrix for each of the In Place active views, the server knows the proper display context on the display, knows how to properly process events such as mouse clicks on the display, and knows how to perform dynamic (rubberbanding) displays on that view. To avoid marshalling (defined in "Inside OLE 2" described above), a server could display itself by using the attachment matrix and World to View matrix to determine the context for the server view.

The following is a description of the preferred embodiment of the formal `GetViewContext` interface:

IOleInPlaceViews::GetViewContext

```
HRESULT IOleInPlaceViews::GetViewContext
```

```
(HWND hwnd, LPIGL* pIGL, LPXFORMthree-
dimensional pVToW, LPXFORMthree-dimensional
pWToV)
```

Returns the Graphic context of the three-dimensional In-Place Window.

Argument	Type	Description
----------	------	-------------

hWnd

Handle to the window to get the context from.

pIGL	LPIGL*	Pointer to the IGL interface (Interface Graphic Library), the server is responsible to add a reference to this interface, and release it when it deactivates.
------	--------	---

`pvtow LPXFORM3D` Matrix representing the View to World (pixel to three-dimensional (real world) coordinate system) Transformation Matrix of the OuterMost In-Place container. This matrix is a 4x4 matrix as described in OpenGL view matrices. It includes Rotation, Translation, Scale, Perspective and shearing information.

`pWtoV` LPXFORM3D Matrix representing the World to View Transformation Matrix of the OuterMost In-Place container. This matrix is a 4x4 matrix as described in OpenGL view matrices. It includes Rotation, Translation, Scale, Perspective and shearing information. This is the inverse of the `pWtoW` argument without perspective or projections.

return value S_OK The Display context information is passed successfully.

E OUTFMEMORY The matrix cannot be allocated.

E INVALIDARG One of the arguments is invalid

E UNEXPECTED An unexpected error happened.

This function, implemented by three-dimensional graphic containers, is called by In-Place three-dimensional servers to initialize their display context. The pointer to the IGL interface is different here. The server must push the container's model matrix (see `IClEnPlace3DSite::GetModelMatrix`) and the `pWtWv` matrix before displaying in dynamics. After displaying, the server should pop the context back. This allows the container (possibly upon an `AdviseSink::OnViewChange`) to send the display to other objects without concern for this object's display context.

2.2.3 IOleInPlaceViews::SetActive3DObject

The third IOleInPlaceViews function SetActive3DObject allows the server to give the container a pointer to its IOleInPlaceActive3DObject interface, so that the container can modify the views, as will be described below.

The following is a description of the preferred embodiment of the formal SetActive3DObject interface:

```

IOleInPlaceViews::SetActive3DObject
HRESULT IOleInPlaceViews::SetActive3DObject
    (LPOLEINPLACEACTIVE3DOBJECT p3DActiveObj)
    Sets the IOleInPlaceActive3DObject connection.
    Argument      Type      Description
    p3DActiveObj  LPOLEINPLACEACTIVE3DOBJECT
    Pointer to the IOleInPlaceActive3DObject
    interface
    return value  S_OK      The operation was successful.
    E_INVALIDARG  One of the arguments is invalid.
    E_UNEXPECTED  An unexpected error happened.
  
```

To establish a direct link between the In-Place server and the container, the Server calls IOleInPlace3DSite::GetWindowContext and stores it, then it calls IOleInPlaceViews::SetActive3DObject giving its interface to IOleInPlaceActive3DObject, so the Container can store its connection too.

A more detailed in-place activation flow diagram, as illustrated in Fig. 35, is summarized below for convenience, although not necessary for one familiar with standard OLE. (Note: functions not described in the specification section are fully described in "Inside OLE 2" as described above): receiving IOleObject::DoVerb (or IOle3DObject::DoVerb), the server calls the following:

```

IOleClientSite::QueryInterface    for the IOleInPlaceSite interface, and
                                  stores it.
IOleInPlaceSite::QueryInterface   for the IOleInPlace3DSite interface,
                                  and stores it.
IOleInPlaceSite::CanInPlaceActivate, asking if the container supports
                                  In-place activation.
IOleInPlace3DSite::GetModelMatrix to get the ModelMatrix (outermost
                                  container to server).
  
```

This calls recurses until it reaches the outermost three-dimensional container.

```

IoleInPlaceSite::OnInPlaceActivate    to notify the container that the
                                     object is about to be activated.
IoleInPlaceSite::OnUIActivatate       to notify the container that the menus
                                     are going to be merged.
IoleInPlaceSite::GetWindowContext     to return IoleInPlaceFrame and
                                     IoleInPlaceUIWindow interfaces.
IoleInPlace3DSite::GetWindowContext   to return the IoleInPlaceViews
                                     interface (windows manager).

CreateMenu to create an empty menu.
IoleInPlaceFrame::InsertMenus to ask the container to insert its menus.
InsertMenus to insert its own menus.
IoleInPlaceUIWindow::SetActiveObject    to give the container a pointer
                                     to its IoleInPlaceActiveObject.

IoleInPlaceViews::SetActive3DObject to give the container a pointer to its
                                     IoleInPlaceActive3DObject.
IoleInPlaceViews::EnumInPlaceViews    to get the list of container views.
IoleInPlaceViews::GetViewsContext     to get view context for each view.
IoleInPlaceFrame::SetMenu             to set the composite frame menu on the
                                     container's frame.

```

2.3. IoleInPlaceActive3DObject

The IoleInPlaceActive3DObject interface allows the container to inform the In Place active object of any view changes, deletions or creations. The IoleInPlaceActive3DObject interface is an extension of the IoleInPlaceActiveObject interface and is implemented by three-dimensional containers supporting In Place Activation. IoleInPlaceActive3DObject functions are used in the same programming context as the IoleInPlaceActiveObject functions, adding the functionality of notifying the server of multiple views, as described below. The IoleInPlaceActive3DObject interface derives from IoleInPlaceViews::SetActive3DObject as described above.

Fig. 30 illustrates a IoleInPlaceActive3DObject interface 1380 for a user interface 1390. User interface 1390 includes IoleInPlaceActiveObject interface 1400. IoleInPlaceActive3DObject interface 1380 includes a OnInPlaceViewChange function 1410, an OnInPlaceViewDelete function 1430 as will be described below.

The following is a description of the preferred embodiment of the formal IOleInPlaceActive3DObject interface:

```

interface IOleInPlaceActive3DObject :IUnknown {
// * IUnknown methods * //
HRESULT QueryInterface (REFIID riid, LPVOID FAR* ppvObj);
ULONGAddRef ();
ULONGRelease ();
// * IOleInPlaceActiveObject methods * //
// * IOleInPlaceActive3DObject methods * //
HRESULT OnInPlaceViewChange (HWND hwnd, LPXFORMthree-dimensional
                             pVtoW, LPXFORMthree-dimensional
                             pWtoV);
HRESULT OnInPlaceViewCreate (HWND hwnd);
HRESULT OnInPlaceViewDelete (HWND hwnd);
}

```

2.3.1

IOleInPlaceActive3DObject::OnInPlaceViewChange

The first IOleInPlaceActive3DObject function OnInPlaceViewChange is used when the outermost three dimension container modifies one of its In Place views. An example is if the position of views 1020 and 1030 in Fig. 24 are switched. In response, the container calls OnInPlaceViewChange which notifies the In Place server of the change in the transformation matrices between the positioning of the views in the container and the server.

The following is a description of the preferred embodiment of the formal OnInPlaceViewChange interface:

```

IOleInPlaceActive3DObject::OnInPlaceViewChange
HRESULT IOleInPlaceActive3DObject::OnInPlaceViewChange
    (HWND hwnd, LPXFORMthree-dimensional pVtoW,
     LPXFORMthree-dimensional pWtoV)
Description
Argument      Type
hwnd          HWND          Handle of the view modified.
pVtoW LPXFORM3D ViewToWorld three-dimensional Matrix transformation
                             (this is a 4x4 Matrix following OpenGL standard, it
                             carries rotation, translation, scaling, shearing, and
                             perspective information.

```


pwtoV LPXFORM3D WorldToView three-dimensional Matrix transformation
 (this is a 4x4 Matrix following OpenGL standard, it
 carries rotation, translation, scaling, shearing, and
 perspective information.
 return value S_OK The operation was successful
 E_OUTOFMEMORY The matrix cannot be allocated.
 E_INVALIDARG One of the arguments is invalid.
 E_UNEXPECTED An unexpected error happened.

The in-place server has to keep this information. One matrix (ViewToWorld) is used for locate purpose, the other one (WorldToView) for display in dynamics. These two matrices are passed because they might carry perspective or projection and can be singular, so one might not be deduced from the other one by inversion.

2.3.2.

IOleInPlaceActive3DObject::OnInPlaceViewChange

The second IOleInPlaceActive3DObject function OnInPlaceViewCreate is used when the outermost three-dimensional container adds a new view of an object to the container. An example is if the container adds another view of object 1000 above position 1030, in Fig. 24. In response the container calls OnInPlaceViewChange, which notifies the In Place server of the new view.

The following is a description of the preferred embodiment of the formal OnInPlaceViewCreate interface:

IOleInPlaceActive3DObject::OnInPlaceViewCreate
 HRESULT IOleInPlaceActive3DObject::OnInPlaceViewCreate
 (HWND hwnd)
 Notifies the In-Place Object that the outermost three-dimensional
 container just created a new in-place active window.
 Argument Type Description
 hwnd HWND Handle of the view created.
 return value S_OK The notification is received successfully
 E_INVALIDARG One of the arguments is invalid.
 E_UNEXPECTED An unexpected error happened.

The in-place server then calls IOleInPlaceViews::GetViewContext to get the new display context and stores it.

2.3.3.

IOleInPlaceActive3DObject::OnInPlaceViewDelete

The third IOleInPlaceActive3DObject function OnInPlaceViewDelete is used when the outermost three-dimensional container deletes a view of an object to the container. For example, if view 1010 of object 1000 in Fig. 24 is deleted, the container calls OnInPlaceViewDelete and notifies the In Place server to stop displaying that view.

The following is a description of the preferred embodiment of the formal OnInPlaceViewDelete interface.

```

IoleInPlaceActive3DObject::OnInPlaceViewDelete
HRESULT IoleInPlaceActive3DObject::OnInPlaceViewDelete
    (HWND hwnd)

Notifies the In-Place Object that the outermost three-dimensional
container just deleted a view participating in the in-place activation.
Argument      Type      Description
hwnd          HWND      Handle of the view deleted.
return value   S_OK      The delete notification is received
                        successfully
E_INVALIDARG   One of the arguments is invalid.
E_UNEXPECTED   An unexpected error happened.

```

The in-place server then remove this view from its "active view list" and free the useless context.

3. Interfaces Enabling Three-Dimensional Object Interaction

The new OLE interfaces as described herein allow three-dimensional objects to interact with each other in the container coordinate system. When applications combine three-dimensional objects in complicated relationships within a container/document, to interact properly, objects must make use of spatial information of surfaces in other objects. This "interoperability" between objects extends to enabling one object to "find" the "elements" making up another object. What is done with that information is up to the user or server application. Interoperability further allows overlapping objects to utilize each other's position and geometry during complicated, precise-relationship manipulations. A common example involves the user wanting to manipulate some geometric element relative to the geometry of some other object (or element in the container).

Fig. 31 illustrates the IOleLocate interface 1440 for a user interface 1450 of an object. User interface 1450 includes an IOleItemContainer interface 1460 that is also available to OLE objects. IOleItemContainer interface 1460 includes a function 1470 that when called returns a pointer to IOleLocate interface 1440 if the object can return spatial information. IOleLocate interface 1440 includes a PointLocate function 1480, a ShapeLocate function 1490, and an IOleItemContainer function 1500 as will be described below.

To use IOleLocate, the server must insure that function 1470 can return a pointer to the IOleLocate interface 1440. Similarly, IOleLocate function 1500 must also return a pointer to IOleItemContainer interface 1460 so that the container can take advantage of other IOleItemContainer functions such as EnumObjects and ParseDisplayName (not shown). If the server only supports location of the object itself and not "elements" of the object, then IOleLocate need not be concerned with IOleItemContainer.

The following is a description of the preferred embodiment of the formal IOleLocate interface and variable type definitions.

```

interface IOleLocate : IUnknown {
    // * IUnknown methods * //
    HRESULT QueryInterface (REFIID riid, LPVOID FAR* ppvObj);
    ULONG AddRef ();
    ULONG Release ();
    // * IOleLocate methods * //
    HRESULT PointLocate (LPBORELINE pBoreLine, LPENUMMONIKER*
        ppEnumMoniker);
    HRESULT ShapeLocate (LPSHAPE pShape, LPENUMMONIKER*
        ppEnumMoniker);
};

typedef struct tagBoreLine {          // BoreLine definition
    double m_point[3];                // Eye Point
    double m_direction[3];            // Direction vector
    double m_front;                   // Front curvilinear abscissa >= 0.0
    double m_back;                    // Back curvilinear abscissa <= 0.0
    double m_radius;                  // Tolerance to locate > 0.0
} BORELINE;
typedef BORELINE FAR* LPBORELINE;

typedef enum tagSHAPETYPE {          // Possible types of shapes
    SHAPETYPE_INSIDE = 0,             // Select the elements inside the polygon
    SHAPETYPE_OUTSIDE = 1,           // select the elements outside the
                                     // polygon
    SHAPETYPE_OVERLAP = 2            // select elements overlapping either
                                     // INSIDE or OUTSIDE
} SHAPETYPE;

typedef struct tagShape {            // Shape definition
    double* m_lpoint;                // List of points defining the polygon
    int m_pointCount;                // Number of points in the list
    double m_direction[3];           // Direction vector (of shape walls)
    double m_front;                  // Front curvilinear abscissa >= 0.0
    double m_back;                   // Back curvilinear abscissa <= 0.0
    SHAPETYPE m_type;                // type of shape described
} SHAPE;
typedef SHAPE FAR* LPSHAPE;

```

3.1 IOleLocate::PointLocate

The first IOleLocate function PointLocate enables a server to obtain a list of "elements" in another object that intersect a user defined boreline in space.

Fig. 32 is a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of locating elements in an object using the PointLocate function.

The user first defines a boreline within the container coordinate system (step 1520). Next, `IOleLocate::PointLocate` is called and the other servers respond to this function by determining what pieces of it (elements) intersect boreline (step 1530). Elements that meet the criteria are returned as a list of monikers (step 1540). (Alternatively, the object can simply return all of its elements.) Once the list of monikers is returned, the server then calls the `BindMoniker` helper function or `IMoniker::BindToObject` (described in the appendices) to bind each moniker, i.e., convert each element into an individual object (step 1550). Each new object that intersects the defined boreline can then be used by the server for any purpose.

Figs. 34a - 34c illustrate the use of the `IOleLocate::PointLocate` function. Fig. 34a includes a first object 1590, a bolt, a second object 1600, a block with surface 1610 and 1620, and a boreline 1630. Fig. 34b includes a first object 1640 and a second object 1650. Fig. 34c includes a modified first object 1660, a bolt, and block 1600. Bolt 1590 was created in a first software application and transferred into the container, which created block 1600.

Without the `IOleLocate::PointLocate` function, bolt 1590 and block 1600 are simply within the container without any knowledge of other each other. With the `IOleLocate::PointLocate` function, bolt 1590, for example, receives information regarding other objects in the container. As illustrated in Fig. 34a, if the user wants to extend the length of bolt 1590 until it touches block 1600, the user first defines boreline 1630 along the axis of bolt 1590. The server then calls `IOleLocate::PointLocate`, as disclosed in Fig. 32. As illustrated in Fig. 34a, boreline intersects with surfaces 1610 and 1620 of block 1600, thus the `IOleLocate::PointLocate` function returns monikers for these surfaces. As illustrated in Fig. 34b, each moniker is then converted into first object 1640 and second object 1650. Since the server now knows the coordinates of first object 1640 and second object 1650, the user can extend the length of bolt 1590 until modified bolt 1660 touches block 1600, as illustrated in Fig. 34c.

The following is a description of the preferred embodiment of the formal `PointLocate` interface:

`IOleLocate::PointLocate`

```
HRESULT IOleLocate::PointLocate (LPBoreline pBoreLine, LPENUMMONIKER*
                                ppEnumMoniker)
```

Gets a list of all elements of an object that intersect with a point or a boreline

Argument	Type	Description
<code>pBoreLine</code>	<code>LPBoreLine</code>	Point + depth information to define a sphere or a cylinder used for the intersection criteria. This is a pointer to a boreline structure.
<code>ppEnumMoniker</code>	<code>LPENUMMONIKER*</code>	Moniker enumerator. Each element located is a moniker.
return value	<code>S_OK</code>	The operation was successful
<code>E_OUTOFMEMORY</code>		Out of memory.
<code>E_INVALIDARG</code>		One of the arguments is invalid.
<code>E_UNEXPECTED</code>		An unexpected error happened.

Return an enumerator of monikers. This moniker can be converted to a `DataObject`.

3.2 `IOleLocate::ShapeLocate`

The second `IOleLocate` function `ShapeLocate` allows a server to obtain a list of "elements" in another object that intersect to a user defined shape in space.

Fig. 33 is a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of locating elements in an object using the `ShapeLocate` function.

The user first defines a shape within the container coordinate system (step 1570). Next, `IOleLocate::ShapeLocate` is called and the other servers respond to this function by determining what pieces of it (elements) intersect the boreline

(step 1580). Elements that meet the criteria are returned as a list of monikers (step 1590). (Alternatively, the object can simply return all of its elements.) Once the list of monikers is returned, the server then calls the BindMoniker helper function or IMoniker::BindToObject to bind each moniker, i.e., convert each element into an individual object (step 1600). Each object that intersects the defined shape and can be used by the server for any purpose. In operation, the IOleLocate::ShapeLocate function operates in the same manner as illustrated in Figs. 34a-34c.

The following is a description of the preferred embodiment of the formal ShapeLocate interface:

IOleLocate::ShapeLocate		
HRESULT IOleLocate::ShapeLocate	(LPSHAPE pShape, LPENUMMONIKER* ppEnumMoniker)	
Gets a list of all elements intersecting/contained by a shape.		
Argument	Type	Description
pShape	LPSHAPE	Shape defined by a set of points defining a polygon, a depth and an attribute specifying the position of the object relative to this shape.
ppEnumMoniker	LPENUMMONIKER*	Moniker enumerator. Each element located is a moniker.
return value	S_OK	The operation was successful
E_OUTOFMEMORY		Out of memory.
E_INVALIDARG		One of the arguments is invalid.
E_UNEXPECTED		An unexpected error happened.

Return an enumerator of monikers. This moniker can be converted to a DataObject

In the foregoing specification, the invention has been described with reference to a specific exemplary embodiment thereof. Many changes, modifications, and additional extensions to OLE facilitating the transfer of three-dimensional specific information from an object created in a first software application to a second software application are readily envisioned and are included within other embodiments of the present invention.

The specification and drawings are, accordingly, to be regarded in an illustrative rather than in a restrictive sense. It will, however, be evident that various modifications and changes may be made thereto without departing from the broader spirit and scope of the invention as set forth in the claims.

Claims

1. In a computer system including a display, a first software application, and a second software application, a method for manipulating a first three-dimensional object, comprising the steps of:
 creating a model of the first three-dimensional object with the first software application, the first software application having a first three-dimensional coordinate system;
 storing the model of the first three-dimensional object in a model format;
 retrieving the model of the first three-dimensional object in the model format into a second software application, the second software application having a second coordinate system; and
 manipulating a view of the model of the first three-dimensional object with the second software application and within the second coordinate system.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein
 the second coordinate system is a second three-dimensional coordinate system.
3. The method of claim 2, further comprising the steps of:
 creating a model of a second three-dimensional object with the second software application and within the second coordinate system; and

manipulating the model of the second three-dimensional object with the second software application utilizing the model of the first three-dimensional object.

4. The method of claim 3, further comprising the step of:
manipulating the view of the model of the first three-dimensional object with the second software application utilizing the model of the second three-dimensional object.
5. The method of claim 1, wherein:
said second coordinate system is a two-dimensional coordinate system.
6. The method of claim 5, further comprising the steps of:
creating a model of a two-dimensional object with the second software application and within the second coordinate system; and
manipulating the model of the two-dimensional object with the second software application utilizing the model of the first three-dimensional object.
7. The method of claim 5, further comprising the step of:
manipulating the view of the model of the first three-dimensional object with the second software application utilizing the model of the second three-dimensional object.
8. In a computer system including a display, a first software application, and a second software application, a method for manipulating a two-dimensional object, comprising the steps of:
creating a model of the two-dimensional object with the first software application, the first software application having a two-dimensional coordinate system;
storing the model of the two-dimensional object in a model format;
retrieving the model of the two-dimensional object in the model format into a second software application, the second software application having a three-dimensional coordinate system; and
manipulating a view of the model of the two-dimensional object with the second software application and within the three-dimensional coordinate system.
9. The method of claim 8, further comprising the steps of:
creating a model of a three-dimensional object with the second software application and within the three-dimensional coordinate system; and
manipulating the model of the three-dimensional object with the second software application utilizing the model of the two-dimensional object.
10. The method of claim 9, further comprising the step of:
manipulating the view of the model of the three-dimensional object with the second software application utilizing the model of the two-dimensional object.
11. The method of anyone of the claims 2 to 4, wherein the retrieving step comprises the steps of:
calculating an attachment matrix between the first three-dimensional coordinate system and the second three-dimensional coordinate system;
transforming extent coordinates for the first three-dimensional object in the first three-dimensional coordinate system with the attachment matrix into extent coordinates for the first three-dimensional object in the second three-dimensional coordinate system; and
returning the extent coordinates for the first three-dimensional object in the second three-dimensional coordinate system to the second software application.
12. The method of anyone of the claims 8 to 10, wherein the retrieving step comprises the steps of:
calculating an attachment matrix between the two-dimensional coordinate system and the three-dimensional coordinate system;
transforming extent coordinates for the two-dimensional object in the two-dimensional coordinate system with the attachment matrix into extent coordinates for the two-dimensional object in the three-dimensional coordinate system; and
returning the extent coordinates for the two-dimensional object in the three-dimensional coordinate system to the second software application.

13. The method of anyone of the claims 5 to 7, wherein the retrieving step comprises the steps of:
 retrieving a predefined attachment matrix between the three-dimensional coordinate system and the two-dimensional coordinate system;
 passing the predefined attachment matrix to the model of the first three-dimensional object; and
 returning a predefined view of the model of the first three-dimensional object to the second software application in response to the predefined attachment matrix.
14. The method of anyone of the claims 5 to 7, further comprising the steps of:
 retrieving an attachment matrix between the three-dimensional coordinate system and the two-dimensional coordinate system;
 defining a modified attachment matrix between the three-dimensional coordinate system and the two-dimensional coordinate system;
 passing the modified attachment matrix to the model of the first three-dimensional object, and
 returning a modified view of the model of the first three-dimensional object to the second software application in response to the modified attachment matrix.
15. The method of anyone of the claims 2 to 4, further comprising the steps of:
 calculating an attachment matrix between the first three-dimensional coordinate system and the second three-dimensional coordinate system;
 calculating a transformation matrix between the second three-dimensional coordinate system and a two-dimensional coordinate system of the display; and
 displaying a view of the model of the first three-dimensional object on the display in response to the attachment matrix and the transformation matrix.
16. The method of anyone of the claims 2 to 4, 11, 15, wherein the manipulating step comprises the steps of:
 calculating an attachment matrix between the first three-dimensional coordinate system and the second three-dimensional coordinate system;
 modifying the second three-dimensional coordinate system into a modified three dimensional coordinate system with the second software application; and
 calculating a modified attachment matrix between the first three-dimensional coordinate system and the modified three-dimensional coordinate system, and
 passing the modified attachment matrix to the first software application and the model of the first three-dimensional object
17. In a computer system including a display, a first software application, and a second software application, a method for manipulating a first three-dimensional object, comprising the steps of:
 creating a model of the first three-dimensional object with the first software application, the first software application having a first three-dimensional coordinate system;
 storing the model of the first three-dimensional object in a model format;
 retrieving the model of the first three-dimensional object in the model format into a second software application, the second software application having a second three-dimensional coordinate system;
 activating the first software application from within the second software application, and
 manipulating the model of the first three-dimensional object with the first software application within the first three-dimensional coordinate system.
18. The method of claim 17, wherein the activating step comprises the steps of:
 calculating an attachment matrix between the first three-dimensional coordinate system and the second three-dimensional coordinate system; and
 passing the attachment matrix to the first software application.
19. The method of claim 17, further comprising the steps of:
 calculating an attachment matrix between the first three-dimensional coordinate system and the second three-dimensional coordinate system;
 calculating a transformation matrix between the second three-dimensional coordinate system and a two-dimensional coordinate system of the display;
 passing the attachment matrix and the transformation matrix to the first software application; and
 returning a pointer to the model of the first three-dimensional object to the second software application.

20. The method of claim 17, further comprising the steps of:
 calculating an attachment matrix between the first three-dimensional coordinate system and the second three-dimensional coordinate system;
 selecting a first display view;
 calculating a transformation matrix between the second three-dimensional coordinate system and a two-dimensional coordinate system of the first display view; and
 displaying a first view of the first three-dimensional object with the first software application to the display, in response to the attachment matrix and the transformation matrix.
21. The method of claim 20, further comprising the steps of:
 creating a model of a second three-dimensional object with the second software application and within the second coordinate system; and
 displaying a first view of the second three-dimensional object to the display, in response to the transformation matrix.
22. The method of claim 20, further comprising the steps of:
 selecting a second display view;
 calculating a transformation matrix between the second three-dimensional coordinate system and a two-dimensional coordinate system of the second display view; and
 displaying a second view of the first three-dimensional object with the first software application to the display, in response to the attachment matrix and the second transformation matrix.
23. The method of claim 21, further comprising the steps of:
 selecting a second display view;
 calculating a transformation matrix between the second three-dimensional coordinate system and a two-dimensional coordinate system of the second display view;
 displaying a second view of the first three-dimensional object with the first software application to the display, in response to the attachment matrix and the second transformation matrix; and
 displaying a second view of the second three-dimensional object to the display, in response to the attachment matrix and the second transformation matrix.
24. The method of claim 22, further comprising the steps of:
 selecting the second display view; and
 removing the second view of the first three-dimensional object with the first software application from the display.
25. The method of claim 22, further comprising the steps of:
 selecting the second display view;
 removing the second view of the first three-dimensional object with the first software application from the display; and
 removing the second view of the second three-dimensional object from the display.
26. The method of claim 24 or 25, further comprising the step of:
 enumerating the first display view and the second display view.
27. The method of any one of the claims 20 to 26, further comprising the steps of:
 changing the first display view to a second display view;
 calculating a second transformation matrix between the second three-dimensional coordinate system and a two-dimensional coordinate system of the second display view; and
 displaying a changed first view of the first three-dimensional object with the first software application to the display, in response to the attachment matrix and the second transformation matrix.
28. The method of any one of the claims 2 to 4, 11, 15, 16, further comprising the steps of:
 locating a point in the second three-dimensional coordinate system;
 locating an element in the model of the first three-dimensional object that intersects with the point; and
 returning a marker for the element to the second software application.
29. The method of any one of the claims 2 to 4, 11, 15, 16, further comprising the steps of:
 locating a polygon in the second three-dimensional coordinate system;

EP 0 723 250 A2

locating an element in the model of the first three-dimensional object that intersects with the polygon; and
returning a moniker for the element to the second software application.

30. The method of claim 28 or 29, further comprising the step of:

creating a model of the element in response to the moniker for the element.

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

	Jan	Feb	Mar
N	1	1	2
S	3	5	8
E	13	21	34
W	55	89	99

1

2

The Patent and Trademark Office shall continue as an office in the Department of Commerce, where records, books, drawings, specifications, and other papers and things

	Jan	Feb	Mar
N	1	1	2
S	3	5	8
E	13	21	34
W	55	89	99

pertaining to patents and to trademark registrations shall be kept and preserved, except as otherwise provided by law.

10

11

17

Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 7




Fig. 8




Fig. 9




Fig. 10a




Fig. 10b




Fig. 11a




Fig. 11b




Fig. 12




Fig. 13




Fig. 14




Fig. 15




Fig. 16




Fig. 17




Fig. 18




Fig. 19




Fig. 20




Fig. 21




Fig. 22




Fig. 23





Fig. 24



1150

Fig. 25




Fig. 26

1210

	Jan	Feb	Mar
N	1	1	2
S	3	5	8
E	13	21	34
W	55	89	99

1220

The Patent and Trademark Office shall continue as an office in the Department of Commerce, where records, books, drawings, specifications, and other papers and things

	Jan	Feb	Mar
N	1	1	2
S	3	5	8
E	13	21	34
W	55	89	99

pertaining to patents and to trademark registrations shall be kept and preserved, except as otherwise provided by law.

1230




Fig. 27




Fig. 28




Fig. 29




Fig. 30




Fig. 31




Fig. 32




Fig. 33




Fig. 34a




Fig. 34b





Fig. 34c

Fig. 35



(19)



Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets



(11)

EP 0 723 250 A3

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(88) Date of publication A3:
16.04.1997 Bulletin 1997/16

(51) Int. Cl.⁶: G06T 17/00

(43) Date of publication A2:
24.07.1996 Bulletin 1996/30

(21) Application number: 96100765.5

(22) Date of filing: 19.01.1996

(84) Designated Contracting States:
DE FR GB IT NL

(30) Priority: 23.01.1995 US 378251

(71) Applicant: Intergraph Corporation
Huntsville, Alabama 35824 (US)

(72) Inventors:
• Fortenberry, Mark
Fayetteville, Tennessee 37334 (US)

• Stubbs, Cameron M.
Decatur, Alabama 35601 (US)
• Payannet, Dominique J.
Madison, Alabama 35758 (US)
• Patience, Robert
Huntsville, Alabama 35801 (US)

(74) Representative: Sparing - Röhl - Henseler
Patentanwälte
Rethelstrasse 123
40237 Düsseldorf (DE)

(54) Ole for design and modeling

(57) A method for manipulating a first three-dimensional object, in a computer system including a display, a first software application, and a second software application. The present method includes the step of creating a model of the first three-dimensional object with the first software application, which has a first three-dimensional coordinate system. A step of storing the model of the first three-dimensional object in a model format is also included. The present method further includes the step retrieving the model of the first three-dimensional object in the model format into a second software application, the second software application having a second coordinate system. The present method also includes the step of manipulating a view of the model of the first three-dimensional object with the second software application and within the second coordinate system.




Fig. 4

EP 0 723 250 A3

European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 96 10 0765

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.6)
A	TRANSACTIONS OF THE INSTITUTE OF ELECTRONICS, INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERS OF JAPAN. vol. E70, no. 12, 1 December 1987, pages 1220-1228, XP000471568 MEKHABUNCHAKIJ K ET AL: "INTERACTIVE SOLID DESIGN THROUGH 2D REPRESENTATIONS" * page 1223, right-hand column, line 27 - page 1225, right-hand column, line 25 *	1-10	G06T17/00
A	COMPUTERS AND GRAPHICS, vol. 12, no. 1, 1 January 1988, pages 115-123, XP000142149 SHEU P C Y: "OBJECT-ORIENTED GRAPHICS KNOWLEDGE BASES" * page 118, left-hand column, line 19 - page 122, line 2 *	1.2, 11-30	
A	SIGSMALL PC, vol. 19, no. 2, 1 November 1993, pages 10-16, XP000415029 KRELL M: "A GRAPHICS PACKAGE FOR PRODUCING THREE-DIMENSIONAL HIERARCHICAL GRAPHICS ON PERSONAL COMPUTERS" -----		TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.6) G06T
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search BERLIN		Date of completion of the search 4 February 1997	Examiner Burgaud, C
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS		T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application I : document cited for other reasons A : technological background O : non-written disclosure F : intermediate document	
X : particularly relevant if taken alone V : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure F : intermediate document			

EPO FORM 1500 (01/1997)